

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/449,907
 12/02/99
 SAKAMOTO
 T
 P7176-9004

 EXAMINER

QM32/1017 NIKAIDO MARMELSTEIN MURRAY AND ORAM LLP METROPOLITAN SQUARE 655 15TH STREET NW SUITE 330 G STREET LOBBY

WASHINGTON DC 20005-5701

NGLIYEN, D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3723

10/17/00

Q'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/449,907

Applicant(a)

Examiner

Dung Van Nguyen

Sakamoto et al Group Art Unit 3723

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☑ Claims 1-6	
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	on Parisary PTO 040
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	if the priority documents have been
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	mh a cl
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	international bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	0(e)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	olo,
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	18
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON 1	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/449907

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1 includes figures 2 and 3, species 2 includes figures 4 and 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, a polishing cloth and chemical mechanical polishing apparatus are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/449907

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Douglas Goldhush on 11 October 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Van Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0036.

DVN

October 11, 2000